# Semantics and Verification 2005

# Lecture 7

- bisimulation as a fixed point
- Hennessy-Milner logic with recursively defined variables
- game semantics and temporal properties of reactive systems
- characteristic property

Summary of Tarski's Fixed Point Theorem Recalling the Definition of Strong Bisimulation Fixed Point Definition of Strong Bisimilarity

# Tarski's Fixed Point Theorem – Summary

Let  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  be a complete lattice and let  $f : D \rightarrow D$  be a monotonic function.

### Tarski's Fixed Point Theorem

Then f has a unique largest fixed point  $z_{max}$  and a unique least fixed point  $z_{min}$  given by:

$$z_{max} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqcup \{ x \in D \mid x \sqsubseteq f(x) \}$$
$$z_{min} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqcap \{ x \in D \mid f(x) \sqsubseteq x \}$$

## Computing Fixed Points in Finite Lattices

If D is a finite set then there exist integers M, m > 0 such that

• 
$$z_{max} = f^M(\top)$$

• 
$$z_{min} = f^m(\perp)$$

Summary of Tarski's Fixed Point Theorem Recalling the Definition of Strong Bisimulation Fixed Point Definition of Strong Bisimilarity

# Definition of Strong Bisimulation

Let 
$$(Proc, Act, \{\stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} | a \in Act\})$$
 be an LTS.

## Strong Bisimulation

A binary relation  $R \subseteq Proc \times Proc$  is a strong bisimulation iff whenever  $(s, t) \in R$  then for each  $a \in Act$ :

• if 
$$s \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} s'$$
 then  $t \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} t'$  for some  $t'$  such that  $(s',t') \in R$ 

• if 
$$t \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} t'$$
 then  $s \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} s'$  for some  $s'$  such that  $(s', t') \in R$ .

Two processes  $p, q \in Proc$  are strongly bisimilar  $(p \sim q)$  iff there exists a strong bisimulation R such that  $(p, q) \in R$ .

$$\sim = \bigcup \{ R \mid R \text{ is a strong bisimulation} \}$$

Summary of Tarski's Fixed Point Theorem Recalling the Definition of Strong Bisimulation Fixed Point Definition of Strong Bisimilarity

# Strong Bisimulation as a Greatest Fixed Point

## Function $\mathcal{F}: 2^{(Proc \times Proc)} \rightarrow 2^{(Proc \times Proc)}$

Let  $S \subseteq Proc \times Proc$ . Then we define  $\mathcal{F}(S)$  as follows:

 $(s,t) \in \mathcal{F}(S)$  if and only if for each  $a \in Act$ :

- if  $s \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} s'$  then  $t \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} t'$  for some t' such that  $(s', t') \in S$
- if  $t \xrightarrow{a} t'$  then  $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$  for some s' such that  $(s', t') \in S$ .

### Observations

- $(2^{(Proc \times Proc)}, \subseteq)$  is a complete lattice and  $\mathcal{F}$  is monotonic
- S is a strong bisimulation if and only if  $S \subseteq \mathcal{F}(S)$

## Strong Bisimilarity is the Greatest Fixed Point of ${\cal F}$

$$\sim = \bigcup \{ S \in 2^{(Proc \times Proc)} \mid S \subseteq \mathcal{F}(S) \}$$

# HML with One Recursively Defined Variable

## Syntax of Formulae

Formulae are given by the following abstract syntax

$$F ::= X \mid tt \mid ff \mid F_1 \wedge F_2 \mid F_1 \vee F_2 \mid \langle a \rangle F \mid [a]F$$

where  $a \in Act$  and X is a distinguished variable with a definition •  $X \stackrel{\min}{=} F_X$ , or  $X \stackrel{\max}{=} F_X$ 

such that  $F_X$  is a formula of the logic (can contain X).

## How to Define Semantics?

For every formula F we define a function  $O_F: 2^{Proc} \rightarrow 2^{Proc}$  s.t.

- if S is the set of processes that satisfy X then
- $O_F(S)$  is the set of processes that satisfy F.

Syntax Semantics Game Characterization

# Definition of $O_F: 2^{Proc} \to 2^{Proc}$ (let $S \subseteq 2^{Proc}$ )

$$O_X(S) = S$$

$$O_{tt}(S) = Proc$$

$$O_{ff}(S) = \emptyset$$

$$O_{F_1 \land F_2}(S) = O_{F_1}(S) \cap O_{F_2}(S)$$

$$O_{F_1 \lor F_2}(S) = O_{F_1}(S) \cup O_{F_2}(S)$$

$$O_{\langle a \rangle F}(S) = \langle \cdot a \cdot \rangle O_F(S)$$

$$O_{[a]F}(S) = [\cdot a \cdot] O_F(S)$$

 $O_F$  is monotonic for every formula F

$$S_1 \subseteq S_2 \ \Rightarrow \ O_F(S_1) \subseteq O_F(S_2)$$

Proof: easy (structural induction on the structure of F).

# Semantics

#### Syntax Semantics Game Characterization

# Observation

We know that  $(2^{Proc}, \subseteq)$  is a complete lattice and  $O_F$  is monotonic, so  $O_F$  has a unique greatest and least fixed point.

## Semantics of the Variable X

• If  $X \stackrel{\max}{=} F_X$  then

$$\llbracket X \rrbracket = \bigcup \{ S \subseteq Proc \mid S \subseteq O_{F_X}(S) \}.$$

• If  $X \stackrel{\min}{=} F_X$  then

$$\llbracket X \rrbracket = \bigcap \{ S \subseteq Proc \mid O_{F_X}(S) \subseteq S \}.$$

Syntax Semantics Game Characterization

# Game Characterization

Intuition: the attacker claims  $s \not\models F$ , the defender claims  $s \models F$ .

## Configurations of the game are of the form (s, F)

- (s, tt) and (s, ff) have no successors
- (s, X) has one successor  $(s, F_X)$
- $(s, F_1 \land F_2)$  has two successors  $(s, F_1)$  and  $(s, F_2)$ (selected by the attacker)
- (s, F<sub>1</sub> ∨ F<sub>2</sub>) has two successors (s, F<sub>1</sub>) and (s, F<sub>2</sub>) (selected by the defender)
- (s, [a]F) has successors (s', F) for every s' s.t.  $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$  (selected by the attacker)
- $(s, \langle a \rangle F)$  has successors (s', F) for every s' s.t.  $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$ (selected by the defender)

Syntax Semantics Game Characterization

# Who is the Winner?

Play is a maximal sequence of configurations formed according to the rules given on the previous slide.

## Finite Play

- The attacker is the winner of a finite play if the defender gets stuck or the players reach a configuration (*s*, *ff*).
- The defender is the winner of a finite play if the attacker gets stuck or the players reach a configuration (*s*, *tt*).

## Infinite Play

- The attacker is the winner of an infinite play if X is defined as  $X \stackrel{\min}{=} F_X$ .
- The defender is the winner of an infinite play if X is defined as  $X \stackrel{\text{max}}{=} F_X$ .

Syntax Semantics Game Characterization

# Game Characterization

## Theorem

- s ⊨ F if and only if the defender has a universal winning strategy from (s, F)
- s ⊭ F if and only if the attacker has a universal winning strategy from (s, F)

# Selection of Temporal Properties

• 
$$Inv(F)$$
:  $X \stackrel{\text{max}}{=} F \land [Act]X$ 

• 
$$Pos(F)$$
:  $X \stackrel{\min}{=} F \lor \langle Act \rangle X$ 

• Safe(F): 
$$X \stackrel{\text{max}}{=} F \land ([Act]ff \lor \langle Act \rangle X)$$

• Even(F): 
$$X \stackrel{\min}{=} F \lor (\langle Act \rangle tt \land [Act]X)$$

• 
$$F \mathcal{U}^w G$$
:  $X \stackrel{\text{max}}{=} G \lor (F \land [Act]X)$   
•  $F \mathcal{U}^s G$ :  $X \stackrel{\text{min}}{=} G \lor (F \land \langle Act \rangle tt \land [Act]X)$ 

Using until we can express e.g. Inv(F) and Even(F):

$$Inv(F) \equiv F \ U^w \ ff \qquad Even(F) \equiv tt \ U^s \ F$$

# Examples of More Advanced Recursive Formulae

# Nested Definitions of Recursive Variables

$$X \stackrel{\min}{=} Y \lor \langle Act \rangle X \qquad \qquad Y \stackrel{\max}{=} \langle a \rangle tt \land \langle Act \rangle Y$$

Solution: compute first  $\llbracket Y \rrbracket$  and then  $\llbracket X \rrbracket$ .

## Mutually Recursive Definitions

$$X \stackrel{\max}{=} [a] Y \qquad \qquad Y \stackrel{\max}{=} \langle a \rangle X$$

Solution: consider a complete lattice  $(2^{Proc} \times 2^{Proc}, \sqsubseteq)$  where  $(S_1, S_2) \sqsubseteq (S'_1, S'_2)$  iff  $S_1 \subseteq S'_1$  and  $S_2 \subseteq S'_2$ .

### Theorem (Characteristic Property for Finite-State Processes)

Let s be a process with finitely many reachable states. There exists a property  $X_s$  s.t. for all processes t:  $s \sim t$  if and only if  $t \in [X_s]$ .