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## Semantics and Verification 2005

Lecture 13

- boolean expressions and normal forms
- binary decision diagrams (BDDs)
- algorithms on BDD


| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Boolean Log Binary Decision Diagran

## Boolean Expressions

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be boolean variables

## Abstract Syntax for Boolean Expressions ( $x$ ranges over variables)

$$
t, t_{1}, t_{2}::=0|1| x|\neg t| t_{1} \wedge t_{2}\left|t_{1} \vee t_{2}\right| t_{1} \Rightarrow t_{2} \mid t_{1} \Leftrightarrow t_{2}
$$

## Truth Assignment

$$
v:\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}
$$

Function $v$ is often written as $\left[v\left(x_{1}\right) / x_{1}, v\left(x_{2}\right) / x_{2}, \ldots, v\left(x_{n}\right) / x_{n}\right]$.
Example:

- boolean expression: $\neg\left(x_{1} \wedge x_{2}\right) \Rightarrow\left(\neg x_{1} \vee x_{4}\right)$
truth assignment: [ $\left.1 / x_{1}, 0 / x_{2}, 1 / x_{3}, 1 / x_{4}\right]$
Problem: arity $n$ gives truth table of size $\theta\left(2^{n}\right)$.

| Lecture 13 Boolean LLegic Binary Decisison Diagrams Algorithms on ROBDDs | Semantics and Verification 2005 <br> Boolean Functions <br> Boolean Expressions <br> Normal Forms |  | Lecture 13 Boolen Logic Binary Decison Diagrams Algorithms on ROBDDs | Semantics and Verification 2005 Boolean Functions <br> Boolean Expressions <br> Normal Forms | Lecture 13 Boolean Logic Binary Decision Diagrams Algorithms on ROBDDs | Semantics and Verification 2005 Boolean Functions Normal Forms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Evaluation of Boolean Expre | ions | Terminology |  |  | Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF) |  |

A boolean expression $t$ defines a boolean function $f^{t}: \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ by the following (structural) rules:


## Equivalent Boolean Expressions

Boolean expressions $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are equivalent iff $f^{t_{1}}=f^{t_{2}}$, i.e., they yield the same truth value for all truth assignments.

Example: $\neg\left(x_{1} \wedge x_{2}\right)$ is equivalent to $\neg x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2}$

## Tautology

A boolean expression $t$ is a tautology if it yields true for all truth assignment.

## Satisfiability

A boolean expression $t$ is satisfiable if it yields true for at least one truth assignment.

## Definitions

- Literal is a boolean variable or its negation.
- Clause is a disjunction of literals.
- A boolean expression if CNF is a conjunction of clauses.

Example: $\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{3}\right) \wedge\left(\neg x_{1} \vee x_{2} \vee x_{3}\right) \wedge\left(x_{2} \vee \neg x_{3}\right)$

## Theorem

or any boolean expression there is an equivalent one in CNF

## Cook's Theorem

Satisfiability of boolean expressions (in CNF) is NP-complete


## Reduced BDD

A BDD is reduced iff for all nodes $u, v \in V \backslash\{0,1\}$ :
(1) $\operatorname{low}(u) \neq \operatorname{high}(u)$
(2) $\operatorname{low}(u)=\operatorname{low}(v)$ and $\operatorname{high}(u)=\operatorname{high}(v)$ and $\operatorname{var}(u)=\operatorname{var}(v)$ implies that $u=v$.

ROBDD with a root node $u$ describes a boolean expression $t^{u}$ according to the following (inductive) definition:

- $t^{0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 0$
- $t^{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 1$
- $t^{u} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \operatorname{var}(u) \rightarrow t^{\operatorname{high}(u)}, t^{\operatorname{low}(u)}$
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## Canonicity of ROBDDs

$\left(x_{1} \Leftrightarrow x_{2}\right) \wedge\left(x_{3} \Leftrightarrow x_{4}\right) \wedge\left(x_{5} \Leftrightarrow x_{6}\right) \wedge\left(x_{7} \Leftrightarrow x_{8}\right)$

$x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{8} \quad x_{1}<x_{3}<x_{5}<x_{7}<x_{2}<x_{4}<x_{6}<x_{8}$



## Canonicity Lemma

For any boolean function $f: \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ and a given ordering of variables $x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n}$ there is exactly one ROBDD with root $u$ which describes the function $f$, i,e.

$$
t^{u}\left[v_{1} / x_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} / x_{n}\right]=f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)
$$

for all $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{B}^{n}$

Consequences

- A given ROBDD with root $u$ is tautology iff $u=1$.
- A given ROBDD with root $u$ is satisfiable iff $u \neq 0$
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## Building an ROBDD from a Boolean Expression

Let $t$ be a boolean expression and $x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n}$.
Build $(t, 1)$ builds a corresponding ROBDD and returns its root

## Build $(t, i)$ : Node $=$

if $i>n$ then
if $t$ is true then return 0 else return 1
else
low := $\operatorname{Build}\left(t\left[0 / x_{i}\right], i+1\right)$
high $:=\operatorname{Build}\left(t\left[1 / x_{i}\right], i+1\right)$
var :=i
return Makenode(var, low, high) end if

Complexity: exponentially many recursive calls!
s this necessary? Yes, checking if $t$ is a tautology is co-NP-hard!

## Boolean Operations on ROBDDs

Let us assume that ROBDDs for boolean expressions $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are already constructed.

How to construct ROBDD for

- $\neg t_{1}$
- $t_{1} \wedge t_{2}$
- $t_{1} \vee t_{2}$
- $t_{1} \Rightarrow t_{2}$
- $t_{1} \Leftrightarrow t_{2}$
with an emphasis on efficiency?


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Lecture } 13 \\ \text { Boolean Lovic }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Semantics and Verification } 2005 \\ \text { Representation of ROBDDs in Memory }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Binary |  |



## Apply with Dynamic Programming in $O\left(\left|u_{1}\right| \cdot\left|u_{2}\right|\right)$

Two dimensional array $\left.G(-,)_{-}\right)$initially empty.

Apply ( $u_{1}, u_{2}$ : Node): Node $=$
if $G\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \neq$ empty then
return $G\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$
else if ( $u_{1} \in\{0,1\}$ and $u_{2} \in\{0,1\}$ ) then
$u:=u_{1} o p u_{2}$
else if $\operatorname{var}\left(u_{1}\right)=\operatorname{var}\left(u_{2}\right)$ then $u:=$.
else if $\operatorname{var}\left(u_{1}\right)<\operatorname{var}\left(u_{2}\right)$ then $u:=\ldots$
else if $\operatorname{var}\left(u_{1}\right)>\operatorname{var}\left(u_{2}\right)$ then $u:=$..
end if
$G\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right):=u$
return $u$

Let $t$ be a boolean expression with its ROBDD representation.
The following operations can be done efficiently

- Restriction $t\left[0 / x_{i}\right]\left(t\left[1 / x_{i}\right]\right):$ restricts the variable $x_{i}$ to $0(1)$
- SatCount( t ): returns the number of satisfying assignments
- AnySat( t$)$ : returns some satisfying assignment
- AllSat(t): returns all satisfying assignments
- Existential quantification $\exists x_{i} \cdot t$ : equivalent to $t\left[0 / x_{i}\right] \vee t\left[1 / x_{i}\right]$
- Composition $t\left[t^{\prime} / x_{i}\right]:$ equivalent to $t^{\prime} \rightarrow t\left[1 / x_{i}\right], t\left[0 / x_{i}\right]$
- Combinatorial circuits.
- Combinatorial problems.
- Verification (equivalence checking, temporal logic model checking).
- Program analysis.
- ...

