

Overview of the Course

Aims of the Course

Introduction to Infinite-State Systems

Jiri Srba, BRICS Aalborg

30.11 – 1.12 2005

PhD Course at FIRST Graduate School, IT University

- Mathematical models for the formal description and analysis of programs with unbounded state spaces.
- Decidability and complexity issues.
- Applicability of the results.

Present a general theory of reactive systems with infinite-state spaces and their applications.

- What does “equivalent” mean for reactive systems?
 - Description of infinite-state systems.
 - Selected techniques and results.
- 1 Give the participants an overview of the area.
 - 2 Show in detail a few key techniques with wider applicability.
 - 3 Motivate the participants to have a closer look at topics of interest.
- (participation = 1.5 ECTS; participation+essay = 3.5 ECTS)

Literature

- On-line literature at <http://www.cs.aau.dk/~srba/courses/PhD-05/first.html>

- Take your notes and participate actively.

Overview of the Course Topics

- reactive systems, LTS, bisimilarity, games
- process rewrite systems — hierarchy of infinite-state systems
- decidability of \sim for BPP — tableau technique
- complexity of \sim for BPP — defender's choice technique
- reachability for PDA in P — automata-theoretical approach
- applicability to control-flow analysis
- undecidability of \sim for PN — Minsky machine and reductions
- well quasi ordering — a universal decidability theorem
- visibly pushdown automata — a class with nice closure properties
- ...

Classical View on Computations

Characterization of a Classical Program

Program transforms an input into an output.

- Denotational semantics:
a meaning of a program is a partial function
- $$states \leftrightarrow states$$
- **Nontermination is bad!**
 - In case of termination, the result is unique.

Is this all we need?

Reactive systems

What about:

- Operating systems?
- Communication protocols?
- Control programs?
- Mobile phones?
- Vending machines?

Reactive systems

Characterization of a Reactive System

Reactive System is a system that computes by reacting to stimuli from its environment.

Key Issues:

- communication and interaction
- parallelism

Nontermination is good!

The result (if any) does not have to be unique.

Classical vs. Reactive Computing

	Classical	Reactive/Parallel
interaction	no	yes
nontermination	undesirable	often desirable
unique result	yes	no
semantics	$states \leftrightarrow states$?

How to Model Reactive Systems

Question

What is the most abstract view of a reactive system (process)?

Answer

A process performs an action and becomes another process.

Labelled Transition System

Definition

A **labelled transition system** (LTS) is a triple $(Proc, Act, \{\xrightarrow{a} \mid a \in Act\})$ where

- $Proc$ is a set of **states** (or **processes**),
- Act is a set of **labels** (or **actions**), and
- for every $a \in Act$, $\xrightarrow{a} \subseteq Proc \times Proc$ is a binary relation on states called the **transition relation**.

We will use the infix notation $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$ meaning that $(s, s') \in \xrightarrow{a}$.

Sometimes we distinguish the **initial** (or **start**) state.

Sequencing, Nondeterminism and Parallelism

LTS explicitly focuses on **interaction**.

LTS can also describe:

- sequencing ($a; b$)
- choice (nondeterminism) ($a + b$)
- limited notion of parallelism (by using interleaving) ($a \parallel b$)

Our focus: LTS with infinitely (even uncountably) many states.

Verifying Correctness of Reactive Systems

Let $Impl$ be an implementation of a system (given as an LTS).

Equivalence Checking Approach

$$Impl \equiv Spec$$

- \equiv is an abstract equivalence, e.g. strong bisimilarity
- $Spec$ is also an LTS
- $Spec$ provides the full specification of the intended behaviour

Model Checking Approach

$$Impl \models Property$$

- \models is the satisfaction relation
- $Property$ is a particular feature, often expressed via a logic
- $Property$ is a partial specification of the intended behaviour

Strong Bisimilarity

Let $(Proc, Act, \{\xrightarrow{a} \mid a \in Act\})$ be an LTS.

Strong Bisimulation

A binary relation $R \subseteq Proc \times Proc$ is a **strong bisimulation** iff whenever $(s, t) \in R$ then for each $a \in Act$:

- if $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$ then $t \xrightarrow{a} t'$ for some t' such that $(s', t') \in R$
- if $t \xrightarrow{a} t'$ then $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$ for some s' such that $(s', t') \in R$.

Strong Bisimilarity

Two processes $p_1, p_2 \in Proc$ are **strongly bisimilar** ($p_1 \sim p_2$) if and only if there exists a strong bisimulation R such that $(p_1, p_2) \in R$.

$$\sim = \cup \{R \mid R \text{ is a strong bisimulation}\}$$

Strong Bisimulation Game

Let $(Proc, Act, \{\xrightarrow{a} \mid a \in Act\})$ be an LTS and $s, t \in Proc$.

We define a two-player game of an '**attacker**' and a '**defender**' starting from s and t .

- The game is played in **rounds** and configurations of the game are pairs of states from $Proc \times Proc$.
- In every round exactly one configuration is called **current**. Initially the configuration (s, t) is the current one.

Intuition

The defender wants to show that s and t are strongly bisimilar while the attacker aims to prove the opposite.

Rules of the Bisimulation Games

Game Rules

In each round the players change the current configuration as follows:

- the attacker chooses one of the processes in the current configuration and makes an \xrightarrow{a} -move for some $a \in Act$, and
- the defender must respond by making an \xrightarrow{a} -move in the other process under the same action a .

The newly reached pair of processes becomes the current configuration. The game then continues by another round.

Result of the Game

- If one player cannot move, the other player wins.
- If the game is infinite, the defender wins.

Game Characterization of Strong Bisimilarity

Theorem

- States s and t are strongly bisimilar if and only if the defender has a **universal** winning strategy starting from the configuration (s, t) .
- States s and t are not strongly bisimilar if and only if the attacker has a **universal** winning strategy starting from the configuration (s, t) .

Remark

Bisimulation game can be used to prove both bisimilarity and nonbisimilarity of two processes. It very often provides elegant arguments for the negative case.

Process Algebra - a Way to Describe Infinite-State Systems

Basic Principle

- Define a few **atomic processes** (modelling the simplest process behaviour).
- Define compositionally **new operations** (building more complex process behaviour from simple ones).