

Introduction to Coccinelle

Julia Lawall
University of Copenhagen

November 11, 2011

Overview

- The structure of a semantic patch.
- Dots.
- Nests.
- Isomorphisms.
- Depends on.
- Positions.
- Python.

The structure of a semantic patch

Goals:

- Specify patterns of code to be found and transformed.
- Specify which terms should be abstracted over.
- C-like, patch-like notation.

```
diff -u -p a/drivers/usb/usb-skeleton.c b/drivers/usb/us
--- a/drivers/usb/usb-skeleton.c 2011-10-19 23:44:50.344
+++ b/drivers/usb/usb-skeleton.c 2011-11-10 19:57:05.148
@@ -358,7 +358,7 @@ retry:
            rv = skel_do_read_io(dev, count);
            if (rv < 0)
                goto exit;
-
+            else if (!file->f_flags & O_NONBLOCK)
+            else if (!(file->f_flags & O_NONBLOCK))
+                goto retry;
            rv = -EAGAIN;
        }
```

The `!&` problem

The problem: Combining a boolean (0/1) with a constant using `&` is usually meaningless:

```
if (!erq->flags & IW_ENCODE_MODE)
{
    return -EINVAL;
}
```

The solution: Add parentheses.

Our goal: Do this automatically for any expression `E` and constant `C`.

A semantic patch for the !& problem

```
@@  
expression E;  
constant C;  
@@  
  
!E & C
```

Two parts per rule:

- Metavariable declaration
- Transformation specification

A semantic patch can contain multiple rules

A semantic patch for the !& problem

```
@@  
expression E;  
constant C;  
@@  
- !E & C  
+ !(E & C)
```

Two parts per rule:

- Metavariable declaration
- Transformation specification

A semantic patch can contain multiple rules

Exercise 1

1. Create a file ex1.coccii containing the following:

```
@@  
expression E;  
constant C;  
@@  
  
- !E & C  
+ !(E & C)
```

2. Create a file ex1.c that contains some valid and invalid uses of ! and &
3. Run spatch: spatch -sp_file ex1.coccii ex1.c
4. Did your semantic patch do everything it should have?
5. Did it do something it should not have?

Practical issues

To check that your semantic patch is valid:

```
spatch -parse_cocc mysp.cocc
```

To run your semantic patch:

```
spatch -sp_file mysp.cocc file.c  
spatch -sp_file mysp.cocc -dir directory
```

To understand why your semantic patch didn't work:

```
spatch -sp_file mysp.cocc file.c -debug
```

Metavariable types

- expression, statement, type, constant, local idexpression
- A type from the source program
- iterator, declarer, iterator name, declarer name, typedef

Example:

```
@@  
unsigned int E;  
constant C;
```

```
@@
```

```
- !E & C  
+ !(E & C)
```

Transformation specification

- $-$ in the leftmost column for something to remove
- $+$ in the leftmost column for something to add
- $*$ in the leftmost column for something of interest
 - Cannot be used with $+$ and $-$.
- Spaces, newlines irrelevant.

```
@@ expression E; constant C; @@
! + ( E & C + )
```

The `sizeof` problem

In C, `sizeof` can take two kinds of argument:

- A type: `sizeof(char) = 1`
- An expression: Suppose `c` has type `char *`.
 - `sizeof(c) = 4`
 - `sizeof(*c) = 1`

A common problem is to take the size of a pointer, rather than the size of the referenced structure:

```
memset(blkbk->pending_reqs, 0,  
-      sizeof(blkbk->pending_reqs));  
+      sizeof(*blkbk->pending_reqs));
```

Exercise 2

1. Write a semantic patch, ex2.cocci, to find and fix incorrect uses of sizeof.
 - **Hint:** A metavariable declared as expression *e; can only match a pointer-typed expression.
2. Write a test file, ex2.c, containing various uses of sizeof.
3. Use spatch -sp_file ex2.cocci ex2.c to test your semantic patch on your code.
4. Write another semantic patch, ex2a.cocci, that uses * to find occurrences of the problem, but not change the code.
5. Test ex2a.cocci on ex2.c

Exercise 3

Write rules to introduce calls to the following functions:

```
static inline void *
ide_get_hwifdata (ide_hwif_t * hwif)
{
    return hwif->hwif_data;
}

static inline void
ide_set_hwifdata (ide_hwif_t * hwif, void *data)
{
    hwif->hwif_data = data;
}
```

Hints:

- To only consider `ide_hwif_t`-typed expressions, declare a “metavariable” `typedef ide_hwif_t;`.
- Consider both structures and pointers to structures.
- Consider the ordering of the rules.

Solution 1

```
@@
typedef ide_hwif_t;
ide_hwif_t *dev;
expression data;
@@

- dev->hwif_data = data
+ ide_set_hwifdata(dev,data)

@@
ide_hwif_t *dev;
@@

- dev->hwif_data
+ ide_get_hwifdata(dev)
```

Solution 2 (more concise)

```
@@
ide_hwif_t *dev;
expression data;
@@

(
- dev->hwif_data = data
+ ide_set_hwifdata(dev, data)
|
- dev->hwif_data
+ ide_get_hwifdata(dev)
)
```

Solution 3 (more complete)

```
@@ ide_hwif_t *dev; expression data; @@
(
- dev->hwif_data = data
+ ide_set_hwifdata(dev, data)
|
- dev->hwif_data
+ ide_get_hwifdata(dev)
)

@@ ide_hwif_t dev; expression data; @@
(
- dev.hwif_data = data
+ ide_set_hwifdata(&dev, data)
|
- dev.hwif_data
+ ide_get_hwifdata(&dev)
)
```

Dots

Goals:

- Specify patterns consisting of fragments of code separated by arbitrary execution paths.
- Specify constraints on the contents of those execution paths.

Nested spin_lock_irqsave

spin_lock_irqsave(lock, flags):

- Takes a lock.
- Saves current interrupt status in flags.
- Disables interrupts.

Invalid nested usage:

```
spin_lock_irqsave(&port->lock, flags);
if (sx_crtscts(port->port.tty))
    if (set & TIOCM_RTS) port->MSVR |= MSVR_DTR;
    else if (set & TIOCM_DTR) port->MSVR |= MSVR_DTR;
spin_lock_irqsave(&bp->lock, flags);
sx_out(bp, CD186x_CAR, port_No(port));
sx_out(bp, CD186x_MSBR, port->MSVR);
spin_unlock_irqrestore(&bp->lock, flags);
spin_unlock_irqrestore(&port->lock, flags);
```

Detecting nested spin_lock_irqsave

Observations:

- Calls to `spin_lock_irqsave` share their second argument.
 - **Solution:** repeated metavariables.
- Calls to `spin_lock_irqsave` may be separated by arbitrary code.
 - **Solution:** ...
- There should be no calls to `spin_lock_irqrestore` between the calls to `spin_lock_irqsave`.
 - **Solution:** when

A semantic match for detecting nested spin_lock_irqsave

```
@@  
expression lock1,lock2;  
expression flags;  
@@  
  
*spin_lock_irqsave(lock1,flags)  
... when != flags  
*spin_lock_irqsave(lock2,flags)
```

Exercise: NULL pointer dereferences

The Linux kernel function `kmalloc` returns NULL if the allocation fails.

- The result of `kmalloc` should not be dereferenced without first checking for NULL.

Example:

```
g = kmalloc (sizeof (*g), GFP_KERNEL);  
g->next = chains[r_sym].next;
```

Exercise: Write a semantic match that detects this problem.

Another source of NULL pointer dereferences

```
if (!wl) {  
    wiphy_err(wl->wiphy,  
              "brcms_suspend: pci_get_drvdata failed");  
    return -ENODEV;  
}
```

Observation: wl is NULL inside the “then” branch

- It may be useful to be informed of all of the dereferences.

Nests

Goals:

- Describe terms that can occur any number of times within an execution path.
- 0 or more times:

$\langle \dots \ P \ \dots \rangle$

- 1 or more times:

$\langle + \dots \ P \ \dots + \rangle$

Exercise: Write a semantic patch to detect dereferences under a NULL test.

Isomorphisms

Goals:

- Transparently treat similar code patterns in a similar way.

Examples:

```
if (!wl) { ... }
```

```
if (wl == NULL) { ... }
```

DIV_ROUND_UP

The following code is fairly hard to understand:

```
return (time_ns * 1000 + tick_ps - 1) / tick_ps;
```

kernel.h provides the following macro:

```
#define DIV_ROUND_UP(n,d) (((n) + (d) - 1) / (d))
```

This is used, but not everywhere it could be.

We can write a semantic patch to introduce new uses.

DIV_ROUND_UP semantic patch

One option:

```
@@ expression n,d; @@  
- (((n) + (d) - 1) / (d))  
+ DIV_ROUND_UP (n, d)
```

Another option:

```
@@ expression n,d; @@  
- (n + d - 1) / d  
+ DIV_ROUND_UP (n, d)
```

Problem: How many parentheses to put, to capture all occurrences?

Isomorphisms

An isomorphism relates code patterns that are considered to be similar:

Expression

@ is_null @ expression X; @@

X == NULL \Leftrightarrow NULL == X \Rightarrow !X

Expression

@ paren @ expression E; @@

(E) \Rightarrow E

Expression

@ drop_cast @ expression E; pure type T; @@

(T) E \Rightarrow E

Isomorphisms, contd.

Isomorphisms are handled by rewriting.

$((n) + (d) - 1) / (d)$

becomes:

```
(  
  ((n) + (d) - 1) / (d))  
|  
  ((n) + (d) - 1) / d  
|  
  ((n) + d - 1) / (d))  
|  
  ((n) + d - 1) / d  
|  
  ((n + (d) - 1) / (d))  
|  
  ((n + (d) - 1) / d)  
|  
  ((n + d - 1) / (d))  
|  
  ((n + d - 1) / d)  
|  
etc.  
)
```

Practical issues

Default isomorphisms are defined in standard.iso

To use a different set of default isomorphisms:

```
spatch -sp_file mysp.coccii -dir linux-x.y.z -iso_file empty.iso
```

To drop specific isomorphisms:

```
@disable paren@ expression n,d; @@  
- (((n) + (d) - 1) / (d))  
+ DIV_ROUND_UP(n, d)
```

To add rule-specific isomorphisms:

```
@using "myparen.iso" disable paren@  
expression n,d;  
@@  
- (((n) + (d) - 1) / (d))  
+ DIV_ROUND_UP(n, d)
```

Exercise

Run

```
spatch -parse_coccii sp.coccii
```

For some semantic patch `sp.coccii` that you have developed.

Explain the result.

Depends on

Goals:

- Define multiple matching and transformation rules.
- Express that the applicability of one rule depends on the success or failure of another.

Header files

DIV_ROUND_UP is defined in kernel.h

- The transformation might not be correct if kernel.h is not included.
- Problem: #include <linux/kernel.h> is far from the call to DIV_ROUND_UP

```
@r@  
@@  
#include <linux/kernel.h>  
  
@depends on r@  
expression n,d;  
@@  
  
- (((n) + (d) - 1) / (d))  
+ DIV_ROUND_UP(n, d)
```

Positions and Python

Goals:

- Positions: remember exactly what fragment of code was matched.
- Python: do arbitrary computation, especially printing.

& with 0

```
if (mode & V4L2_TUNER_MODE_MONO)
    s1 |= TDA8425_S1_STEREO_MONO;
```

- V4L2_TUNER_MODE_MONO **is 0**.
- The test is **always false**.

Detecting & with 0

One strategy:

- Find a use of &.
- Check that the constant is 0.
- Check that there is not another nonzero definition.
- Report on the bug site.

Find a use of &

```
@r expression@  
identifier C;  
expression E;  
position p;  
@@
```

E & C@p

- The rule has a name: r.
- p is a position metavariable, so we can find the same & expression later.

Check that C is 0

```
@s@  
identifier r.C;
```

```
@@  
#define C 0
```

```
@t@  
identifier r.C;  
expression E != 0;  
@@  
#define C E
```

- Both rules inherit C.
- Each rule is applied once for each value of C.
- The second rule puts a constraint on E.
 - Constraints on constants, expressions, identifiers, positions
 - Regular expressions allowed for constants and identifiers.

Printing the result

```
@script:python depends on s && !t@  
p << r.p;  
C << r.C;  
@@  
  
cocci.print_main("and with 0", p)
```

- Python rules only inherit metavariables, using << notation.
- Depends on clause is evaluated for each inherited set of metavariable bindings.
- print_main is part of a library for printing output in Emacs org mode.

The complete semantic patch

```
@r expression@  
identifier C;  
expression E;  
position p;  
@@  
E & C@p  
  
@s@ identifier r.C; @@  
#define C 0  
  
@t@ identifier r.C; expression E != 0; @@  
#define C E  
  
@script:python depends on s && !t@  
p << r.p;  
C << r.C;  
@@  
cocci.print_main("and with 0", p)
```

Exercise

Convert some semantic patch that you have previously written so that it prints an error message rather than making a match or change.

Detecting memory leaks

A simple case of a memory leak:

- An allocation.
- Storage in a local variable.
- No use.
- Return of an error code (negative constant).

Example:

```
tmp_store = kmalloc(sizeof(*tmp_store), GFP_KERNEL);
if (!tmp_store) {
    ti->error = "Exception store allocation failed";
    return -ENOMEM;
}

persistent = toupper(*argv[1]);
if (persistent != 'P' && persistent != 'N') {
    ti->error = "Persistent flag is not P or N";
    return -EINVAL;
}
```

Exercise

Write a semantic match that detects memory leaks involving
kmalloc, kcalloc, or kzalloc.