Test case design techniques II: Blackbox testing



Overview

- Black-box testing (or functional testing):
 - Equivalence partitioning
 - Boundary value analysis
 - Cause-effect graphing
 - Behavioural testing
 - Random testing
 - Error guessing etc...
- How to use black-box and white-box testing in combination
- Basics : heuristics and experience

Domain analysis





Black-box: Three major approaches

- Analysis of the input/output domain of the program:
 - Leads to a logical partitioning of the input/output domain into 'interesting' subsets
- Analysis of the observable black-box behaviour:
 - Leads to a flow-graph-like model, which enables application of techniques from the white-box world (on the black-box model)
- Heuristics
 - Techniques like risk analysis, random input, stress testing



Types of Testing



CSS



Black-box : Equivalence Partitioning

- Divide all possible inputs into classes (partitions) such that
 - There is a finite number of input equivalence classes
 - You may reasonably assume that
 - the program behaves analogously for inputs in the same class
 - a test with a representative value from a class is sufficient
 - if representative detects fault then other class members will detect the same fault



Black-box : Equivalence Partitioning

Strategy :

• Identify input equivalence classes

.

- Based on conditions on inputs / outputs in specification / description
- Both *valid* and *invalid* input equivalence classes
- Based on heuristics and experience
 - "input x in [1..10]" \longrightarrow classes : x < 1, $1 \le x \le 10$, x > 10
 - "enumeration A, B, C \rightarrow classes : A, B, C, not $\overline{\{A,B,C,\}}$
- Define one / couple of test cases for each class
 - Test cases that cover valid eq. classes
 - Test cases that cover at most one invalid eq. class



Example : Equivalence Partitioning

- Test a function for calculation of absolute value of an integer
- Equivalence classes :

Condition	Valid eq. classes	Invalid eq. Classes
nr of inputs	1	0, > 1
Input type	integer	non-integer
particular abs	< 0, >= 0	

• Test cases :

x = -10, *x* = 100 *x* = "XYZ", *x* = - *x* = 10 20



A Self-Assessment Test [Myers]

"A program reads three integer values. The three values are interpreted as representing the lengths of the sides of a triangle. The program prints a message that states whether the triangle is scalene (uligesidet), isosceles (ligebenet), or equilateral (ligesidet)."

•Write a set of test cases to test this program.



A Self-Assessment Test [Myers]

Test cases for:

- 1. valid scalene triangle ?
- 2. valid equilateral triangle ?
- 3. valid isosceles triangle ?
- 4. 3 permutations of previous ?
- 5. side = 0 ?
- 6. negative side ?
- 7. one side is sum of others ?
- 8. 3 permutations of previous ?

- 9. one side larger than sum of others ?
- 10. 3 permutations of previous ?
- 11. all sides = 0 ?
- 12. non-integer input ?
- 13. wrong number of values ?
- 14. for each test case: is expected output specified ?
- 15. check behaviour after output was produced ?

Example : Equivalence Partitioning

- Test a program that computes the sum of the first *value* integers as long as this sum is less than *maxint*. Otherwise an error should be reported. If *value* is negative, then it takes the absolute value
- Formally:

Given integer inputs *maxint* and *value* compute *result*:

result =
$$\sum_{K=0}^{|value|} k$$
 if this <= *maxint*, error otherwise



Example : Equivalence Partitioning

• Equivalence classes :

Condition Nr of inputs Type of input Abs(*value*) *maxint*

Valid eq. classes2int intvalue < 0, value ≥ 0 $\sum k \le maxint,$ $\sum k > maxint$

Invalid eq. classes < 2, > 2 int no-int, no-int int

•	Test Cases		max	int	value	result
		Valid	100		10	55
			100		-10	55
			10		10	error
		Invalid	10		-	error
			10	20	30	error
			"XY	Ζ"	10	error
			100		9.1E4	error



Black-box : Boundary Value Analysis

- Based on experience / heuristics :
 - Testing *boundary conditions* of eq. classes is more effective i.e. values directly on, above, and beneath edges of eq. classes
 - Choose input boundary values as tests in input eq. classes instead of, or additional to arbitrary values
 - Choose also inputs that invoke *output boundary values* (values on the boundary of output classes)
 - Example strategy as extension of equivalence partitioning:
 - choose one (n) arbitrary value in each eq. class
 - choose values exactly on lower and upper boundaries of eq. class
 - choose values immediately below and above each boundary (if applicable)



Example : Boundary Value Analysis

- Test a function for calculation of absolute value of an integer
- Valid equivalence classes :

Condition	Valid eq. classes	Invalid eq. Classes
particular abs	< 0, >= 0	

• Test cases :

class x < 0, arbitrary value:x = -10class x >= 0, arbitrary valuex = 100classes x < 0, x >= 0, on boundary :x = 0classes x < 0, x >= 0, below and above:x = -1, x = 1



A Self-Assessment Test [Myers]

Test cases for:

- 1. valid scalene triangle ?
- 2. valid equilateral triangle ?
- 3. valid isosceles triangle ?
- 4. 3 permutations of previous ?
- 5. side = 0 ?
- 6. negative side ?
- 7. one side is sum of others ?
- 8. 3 permutations of previous ?

- 9. one side larger than sum of others ?
- 10. 3 permutations of previous ?
- 11. all sides = 0 ?
- 12. non-integer input ?
- 13. wrong number of values ?
- 14. for each test case: is expected output specified ?
- 15. check behaviour after output was produced ?

Example : Boundary Value Analysis

• Given integer inputs *maxint* and *value* compute *result* :

result =
$$\sum_{K=0}^{|value|} k$$
 if this <= *maxint*, error otherwise

• Valid equivalence classes :

ConditionValid eq. ClassesAbs(value)value < 0, value ≥ 0 maxint $\sum k \le maxint, \sum k > maxint$

• Should we also distinguish between maxint < 0 and maxint >= 0? maxint maxint < 0, $0 \le maxint < \sum k$, $maxint \ge \sum k$

Example : Boundary Value Analysis

• Valid equivalence classes :

Abs(<i>value</i>)	<i>value</i> < 0,	$value \ge 0$	
maxint	<i>maxint</i> < 0,	$0 \leq maxint < \sum k$,	maxint $\geq \sum k$

• Test Cases :

maxint	value	result	maxint	value	result
55	10	55	100	0	0
54	10	error	100	-1	1
56	10	55	100	1	1
0	0	0			

• How to combine the boundary conditions of different inputs ? Take all possible boundary combinations ? This may blow-up.



Black-box : Cause Effect Graphing

- Black-box testing technique to analyse combinations of input conditions
- Identify causes and effects in specification



- Make Boolean Graph linking causes and effects
- Annotate impossible combinations of causes and effects
- Develop decision table from graph with in each column a particular combination of inputs and outputs
- Transform each column into test case



Black-Box : Cause Effect Graphing



Causes	$\sum k \le maxint$	1	1	0	0
inputs	$\sum k > maxint$	0	0	1	1
	<i>value</i> < 0	1	0	1	0
	$value \ge 0$	0	1	0	1
Effects	$\sum k$	1	1	0	0
outputs	error	0	0	1	

Black-box : Cause Effect Graphing

- Systematic method for generating test cases representing combinations of conditions
- Combinatorial explosion of number of possible combinations
- Some heuristics to reduce this combinatorial explosion
- Starting point is effects (outputs) then working 'backwards'
- 'light-weight' formal methods: transformation into semi-formal Boolean graph
- A technique : to be combined with others



Black-box: behavioural specifications

- Many systems are partly specified through the interaction with an environment, e.g.:
 - Phone switches (dialing sequences)
 - Typical PC applications (GUI dialogues)
 - Consumer electronics (mobile phones)
 - Control systems (cruise, navigation)
- Typical specification formalisms:
 - Use cases
 - Sequence diagrams
- Will be elaborated later in this course

- State machines
- In many situations, abstract test cases can be derived directly from such specifications



Example: Use case



One test per use case:

- 1. Subscribe
- 2. Place call
- 3. Answer call
- 4. Unsubscribe



Example: sequence diagrams





Example: state machine



- evArm 1.
- 2. evDoor
- evArm 1.
- 2. evDoor
- 3. evDisarm



Black-box: syntax testing

- Many kinds of program inputs are syntax driven, e.g.:
 - Command line input
 - Web forms
 - Language definitions
- Normally, such inputs are analysed by standard parsers, however:
 - Boundary conditions may still be useful to apply in order to check correct error handling
- The techniques for behavioural testing can be used



Syntax testing example

Commands::= put | get



Some tests: 1. p,u,t 2. g,e,t 3. q,u,t 4. p,u 5. p,u,s 6.



Black-box: random/stochastic

- Basic idea: Drive the system through typical scenarios, extreme scenarios, and rare scenarios in a random way.
- Motivation: Increase the chance of 'hitting' system faults.
- Application areas:
 - Systems that run forever in some nondetermistic way, e.g. control systems and communication systems
 - Systems with huge input domains
- Examples:
 - Random mouse clicking/typing towards a GUI.
 - Typical browser-user behaviour: (click;read;)* with a typical random distribution of waiting time
 - Random walk through a specification state model while testing



Black-box: stress testing

- Basic idea: Let the environment behave in an extreme way towards the system in order to identify faults.
- Examples:
 - Emulate an extreme number of web users of a given application
 - Denial of service attacks
 - Push 'on/off' on the cars cruise control a number of times followed by a turn-off of the motor and a 'on' push.
 - Send a huge amount of buffers on a network connection as fast as possible
 - Power off the washing machine in any state



Black-box : Error Guessing

- Just 'guess' where the errors are
- Intuition and experience of tester
- Ad hoc, not really a technique
- Strategy:
 - Make a list of possible errors or error-prone situations
 (often related to boundary conditions)
 - Write test cases based on this list



Black-box : Error Guessing

- More sophisticated 'error guessing' : Risk Analysis
- Try to identify critical parts of program (high risk code sections):
 - parts with unclear specifications
 - developed by junior programmer while his wife was pregnant
 - complex code :

measure code complexity - tools available (McGabe, Logiscope,...)

 High-risk code will be more thoroughly tested (or be rewritten immediately)



Black-Box Testing: Which One ?

• Black-box testing techniques :

- Equivalence partitioning
- Boundary value analysis
- Cause-effect graphing
- Error guessing
- Test derivation from formal specifications
- •
- Which one to use ?
 - None is complete
 - All are based on some kind of heuristics
 - They are complementary



Black-Box Testing: Which One ?

- Always use a combination of techniques
 - When a formal specification is available try to use it
 - Identify valid and invalid input equivalence classes
 - Identify output equivalence classes
 - Apply boundary value analysis on valid equivalence classes
 - Guess about possible errors
 - Cause-effect graphing for linking inputs and outputs



White-Box testing : How to Apply ?

- Don't start with designing white-box test cases !
- Start with black-box test cases

 (equivalence partitioning, boundary value analysis,
 cause effect graphing, test derivation with formal methods,)
- Check white-box coverage

 (statement-, branch-, condition-, coverage)
- Use a *coverage tool* maybe combined with a Unit framework
- Design additional white-box test cases for not covered code



A Coverage Tool: gcov

- Standard Gnu tool gcov
- Only statement coverage
- Compile your program under test with a special option
- Run a number of test cases
- A listing indicates how often each statement was executed and percentage of statements executed

